arXiv:0705.3126v1 [math.AP] 22 May 2007

Differentiable perturbations of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators

Luigi Manca
Dipartimento di Matematica P. e A.,
Università di Padova,
Via Trieste 63,
35121 Padova, Italy
E-mail: manca@math.unipd.it

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We prove an extension theorem for a small perturbation of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator $(L, D(L))$ in the space of all uniformly continuous and bounded functions $f : H \to \mathbb{R}$, where H is a separable Hilbert space. We consider a perturbation of the form $N_0\varphi = L\varphi + \langle D\varphi, F\rangle$ where $F : H \to H$ is bounded and Fréchet differentiable with uniformly continuous and bounded differential. Hence, we prove that N_0 is m-dissipative and its closure in $C_b(H)$ coincides with the infinitesimal generator of a diffusion semigroup associated to a stochastic differential equation in H.

Key words: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup; m-dissipative operator; Lumer-Phillips theorem.
MSC 2000: 47B38, 47A55,

1 Introduction and setting of the problem

Let H be a separable Hilbert space endowed with scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $|\cdot|$. We shall always identify H with its topological dual space H^*. $\mathcal{L}(H)$ is the Banach space of all the linear and continuous maps in H, endowed with the usual norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}$. With $C_b(H)$ (resp. $C_b(H;H)$) we denote the Banach space of all uniformly continuous and bounded functions $f : H \to \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $f : H \to H$), endowed with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|$ (resp. $\|\cdot\|_0$).

We also denote by $C_b^1(H)$ (resp. $C_b^1(H;H)$) the space of all $f \in C_b(H)$ (resp. $f : H \to H$) that are Fréchet differentiable with differential in $C_b(H;H)$ (resp. with uniformly continuous and bounded differential $Df : H \to \mathcal{L}(H)$). We assume the following

Hypothesis 1.1. *(i) $A\colon D(A) \subset H \to H$ is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $(e^{tA})_{t\geq 0}$ of type $\mathcal{G}(1,\omega)$, i.e. there exists $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\|e^{tA}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq e^{\omega t}, \quad t \geq 0; \tag{1}$$

(ii) $Q \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is self adjoint and positive;

(iii) For any $t > 0$ the linear operator Q_t, defined as

$$Q_t x = \int_0^t e^{sA} Q e^{sA^*} x ds, \ \ x \in H, \ t \geq 0, \tag{2}$$

is of trace class.

(iv) $F \in C_b^1(H;H)$, and $K = \sup_{x\in H} \|DF(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}$.

It is well known (see, for instance, [44, 4]) that thanks to conditions (i)–(iii) it is possible to define the so called *Ornstein-Uhlenbeck* (OU) semigroup $(R_t)_{t\geq 0}$ in $C_b(H)$ by the formula

$$R_t\varphi(x) = \int_0 \varphi(e^{tA}x + y) N_{Q_t}(dy), \quad x \in H, \tag{3}$$

where N_{Q_t} is the Gaussian measure on H of mean 0 and covariance operator Q_t (see [44, 4]). It turns out that the OU semigroup is not a strongly continuous semigroup in $C_b(H)$ but it is a weakly continuous semigroup (see [11, 1]) and a π-semigroup (see [77, 7]). However, it is possible to define its infinitesimal generator in the weaker sense

$$\begin{cases} D(L) = \Big\{ \varphi \in C_b(H) : \exists g \in C_b(H), \lim_{t\to 0^+} \dfrac{R_t\varphi(x) - \varphi(x)}{t} = g(x), \\ \qquad\qquad x \in H, \ \sup_{t\in(0,1)} \left\| \dfrac{R_t\varphi - \varphi}{t} \right\| < \infty \Big\} \\ \\ L\varphi(x) = \lim_{t\to 0^+} \dfrac{R_t\varphi(x) - \varphi(x)}{t}, \quad \varphi \in D(L), \, x \in H. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

We are interested in the operator $(N_0, D(N_0))$ defined by

$$N_0\varphi = L\varphi + \mathcal{F}\varphi, \quad \varphi \in D(N_0) = D(L) \cap C_b^1(H),$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}\varphi(x) = \langle D\varphi(x), F(x)\rangle.$$

Now let us consider the stochastic differential equation in H

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) = \big(AX(t) + F(X(t))\big)dt + Q^{1/2}dW(t) & t > 0, \\ X(0) = x & x \in H, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where $(W(t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a cylindrical Wiener process defined on a stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$. Since $F \in C_b^1(H; H)$, problem (5) has a unique mild solution $\big(X(t,x)\big)_{t\geq 0, x\in H}$ (see [44, 4]), that is for any $x \in H$ the process $(X(\cdot, x))_{t\geq 0}$ is adapted to the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and it is continuous in mean square, i.e.

$$\lim_{t\to s} \mathbb{E}\big[|X(t,x) - X(s,x)|^2\big] = 0, \quad \forall s \geq 0.$$

This allows us to define a transition semigroup $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$ in $C_b(H)$, by setting

$$P_t\varphi(x) = \mathbb{E}\big[\varphi(X(t,x))\big], \quad t \geq 0, \, \varphi \in C_b(H), \, x \in H.$$

The semigroup $(P_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is not strongly continuous in $C_b(H)$. However, it is a π-semigroup, and we can define its infinitesimal generator $(N, D(N))$ in the same way as for the OU semigroup

$$\begin{cases} D(N) = \Big\{\varphi \in C_b(H) : \exists g \in C_b(H), \displaystyle\lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{P_t\varphi(x) - \varphi(x)}{t} = g(x), \\ \qquad\qquad x \in H, \displaystyle\sup_{t\in(0,1)} \left\|\frac{P_t\varphi - \varphi}{t}\right\| < \infty\Big\} \\ \\ N\varphi(x) = \displaystyle\lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{P_t\varphi(x) - \varphi(x)}{t}, \quad \varphi \in D(N), \, x \in H. \end{cases}$$

The main result of this paper is the following

Theorem 1.2. *Let us assume that Hypothesis 1.1 holds. Then, the operator* $(N_0, D(N_0)$*, defined by* $D(N_0) = D(L) \cap C_b^1(H)$ *and* $N_0\varphi = L\varphi + \mathcal{F}\varphi$, $\forall \varphi \in D(N_0)$*, is m-dissipative in* $C_b(H)$ *and its closure is the operator* $(N, D(N))$*.*

In [22, 2], it is proved that Theorem 1.2 holds with $F \in C_b^{1,1}(H; H)$, that is F is Fréchet differentiable and its differential $DF : H \to \mathcal{L}(H)$ is Lipschitz continuous.

Perturbations of OU operators as been the object of several papers (see, for instance, [22, 233, 355, 588, 8]). Frequently, additional assumptions are taken on the OU operator in order to have $D(L) \subset C_b^1(H)$.

In order to prove Theorem 1.2 we develope a technique introduced in [22, 2]. The idea is the following: since $F \in C_b^1(H; H)$, there exists a unique solution $\eta(\cdot, x)$ of the abstract Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{d}{d\varepsilon}\eta(\varepsilon, x) = F(\eta(\varepsilon, x)), & \varepsilon > 0, \\ \eta(0, x) = x, & x \in H. \end{cases}$$

Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we define the operators $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon : C_b(H) \to C_b(H)$ and $N_\varepsilon : D(N_\varepsilon) \subset C_b(H) \to C_b(H)$ by setting

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon \varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\big(\varphi(\eta(\varepsilon, x)) - \varphi(x)\big),$$

$$\begin{cases} D(N_\varepsilon) = D(L) \cap C_b^1(H), \\ N_\varepsilon \varphi = L\varphi + \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon \varphi, & \varphi \in D(N_\varepsilon). \end{cases}$$

By an approximation argument, we are able to prove that the operator $(N_0, D(N_0))$ is m-dissipative in $C_b(H)$. Then, by the Lumer-Phillips theorem, it will follow that the closure of $(N_0, D(N_0))$ coincides with the operator $(N, D(N))$.

1.1 Properties of $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$

The following lemma collects some useful properties of η.

Lemma 1.3. *The following estimates hold*

$$|\eta(t, x)| \leq e^{\|F\|_0 t}|x|; \tag{6}$$

$$|\eta(t, x) - \eta(t, y)| \leq e^{Kt}|x - y|; \tag{7}$$

$$|\eta(t, x) - x| \leq c\|F\|_0 t \tag{8}$$

$$\|\eta_x(t, x)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq e^{Kt} \tag{9}$$

$$\|\eta_x(t, x) - \eta_x(t, y)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} \leq e^{Kt}\theta_{DF}(e^{Kt}|x - y|), \tag{10}$$

where $\theta_{DF} : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ *is the modulus of continuity of* DF*.*

Proof. (6), (8), (9) have been proved in [22; 2, Lemma 2.1].
(7). We have

$$\begin{aligned}&|\eta(t,x)-\eta(t,y)|\\ &\leq |x-y|+\int_0^t \big|F(\eta(s,x))-F(\eta(s,y))|ds\\ &\leq K\int_0^t |\eta(s,x)-\eta(s,y)|ds.\end{aligned}$$

Then (7) follows by Gronwall's Lemma.
(10). Let $x, y, h \in H$ and set

$$r^h(t)=\eta_x(t,x)\cdot h-\eta_x(t,y)\cdot h=p^h(t,x)-p^h(t,y),$$

where $P^h(t,x)=\eta_x(t,x)\cdot h$ and $p^h(t,y)=\eta_x(t,y)\cdot h$. Then $r^h(t)$ fulfills the following equation

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{d}{dt}r^h(t)=DF(\eta(t,x))r^h(t)+\big[DF(\eta(t,x))-DF(\eta(t,y))\big]p^h(t,x), & t>0\\ \\ r^h(0)=0.\end{cases}$$

Since $|DF(\eta(t,x))r^h(t)|\leq K|r^h(t)|$ it follows that $r^h(t)$ is bounded by

$$|r^h(t)|\leq\int_0^t e^{K(t-s)}\big\|DF(\eta(s,x))-DF(\eta(s,y))\big\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}|p^h(s,x)|ds.$$

By taking into account that $DF : H \to \mathcal{L}(H;H)$ is uniformly continuous and bounded, we denote by θ_{DF} the modulus of continuity of DF. Hence, by (7), (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}|r^h(t)|\leq&\int_0^t e^{Ks}\theta_{DF}(|\eta(s,x)-\eta(s,y)|)ds|h|\\ \leq& e^{Kt}\theta_{DF}(e^{Kt}|x-y|)|h|\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 1.4. *For any $\varphi \in C_b^1(H)$ we have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\varphi=\mathcal{F}\varphi \quad \text{in } C_b(H). \tag{11}$$

$$\|\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\varphi\|\leq\|D\varphi\|_0\|F\|_0. \tag{12}$$

Proof. For all $\varphi \in C_b^1(H)$ we have

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\varphi(x) - \mathcal{F}\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^\varepsilon \langle D\varphi(\eta(s,x)) - D\varphi(x), F(\eta(s,x))\rangle\, ds \\ +\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^\varepsilon \langle D\varphi(x), F(\eta(s,x)) - F(x)\rangle\, ds.$$

Then by (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\varphi(x) - \mathcal{F}\varphi(x)| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^\varepsilon (|\theta_{D\varphi}(|\eta(s,x) - x|)\|F\|_0 + \|D\varphi\|_0 K|\eta(s,x) - x|))\, ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^\varepsilon (\theta_{D\varphi}(\|F\|_0 s|)\|F\|_0 + \|D\varphi\|_0 K\|F\|_0 s)\, ds \\ &\leq (\theta_{D\varphi}(\|F\|_0\varepsilon|) + \|D\varphi\|_0 K\varepsilon)\,\|F\|_0\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_{D\varphi}$, is the modulus of continuity of $D\varphi$. This yields (11). Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^\varepsilon \langle D\varphi(\eta(s,x)), F(\eta(s,x))\rangle\, ds$$

that implies (12). $\square$

1.2 m-dissipativity of N.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ we introduce the following approximating operator

$$N_\varepsilon = L + \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon,\ D(N_\varepsilon) = D(L) \cap C_b^1(H).$$

We have

Proposition 1.5. *N_ε is a m-dissipative operator in $C_b(H)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, for any $f \in C_b^1(H)$ and any $\lambda > \omega + (e^{\varepsilon K} - 1)/\varepsilon$ the operator*

$$R(\lambda, N_\varepsilon) = \left(1 - T_\lambda\right)^{-1} R\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, L\right),$$

where $T_\lambda : C_b(H) \to C_b(H)$ is defined by

$$T_\lambda\psi(x) = R\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, L\right)\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\psi(\eta(\varepsilon, x))\right], \quad x \in H,\ \psi \in C_b(H) \tag{13}$$

maps $C_b^1(H)$ into $D(L) \cap C_b^1(H)$ and

$$\|DR(\lambda, N_\varepsilon)f\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda - \omega - \frac{e^{K\varepsilon} - 1}{\varepsilon}}\|Df\|_0. \tag{14}$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, $f \in C_b(H)$. The equation

$$\lambda\varphi_\varepsilon - L\varphi_\varepsilon - \mathcal{F}(\varphi_\varepsilon) = f$$

is equivalent to

$$\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\varphi_\varepsilon - L\varphi_\varepsilon - \mathcal{F}(\varphi_\varepsilon) = f + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\varphi_\varepsilon(\eta(\varepsilon, \cdot))$$

and to

$$\varphi_\varepsilon = R\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, L\right) f + T_\lambda \varphi_\varepsilon. \tag{15}$$

Since, as we can easily see, for any $\lambda > 0$

$$\|T_\lambda \psi\| \leq \frac{1}{1 + \lambda\varepsilon}\|\psi\|, \quad \forall \psi \in C_b(H), \tag{16}$$

the operator T_λ is a contraction in $C_b(H)$ and so equation (15) has a unique solution $\varphi_\varepsilon \in C_b(H)$ done by $\varphi_\varepsilon = R(\lambda, N_\varepsilon)f$. Moreover, by (13), (16) it holds

$$\|\varphi_\varepsilon\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}\left[\|f\| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\|\varphi_\varepsilon\|\right].$$

Consequently,

$$\|\varphi_\varepsilon\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\|f\|.$$

Then, N_ε is m-dissipative. Now let $f \in C_b^1(H)$. We recall that for any $\lambda > 0$, $\psi \in C_b(H)$

$$R(\lambda, L)\psi(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} R_t \psi(x) dt \tag{17}$$

and that

$$DR_t\psi(x) = \int_H e^{tA^*} D\psi(e^{tA}x + y) N_{Q_t}(dy).$$

Hence, for any $\lambda > \omega$

$$DR(\lambda, L)\psi(x) = \int_0^\infty \int_H e^{-\lambda t} e^{tA^*} D\psi(e^{tA}x + y) N_{Q_t}(dy) dt \tag{18}$$

and so

$$\|DR(\lambda, L)\psi\|_0 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda - \omega}\|D\psi\|_0 \tag{19}$$

Moreover, as it can be easily seen by (18), $DR(\lambda, L)\psi$ is uniformly continuous. Then $R(\lambda, L) : C_b^1(H) \to C_b^1(H)$. Now, in order to prove that $T_\lambda : C_b^1(H) \to$

$C^1_b(H)$ it is sufficient to show that $\psi(\eta(\varepsilon,x))\in C^1_b(H)$, for any $\psi\in C^1_b(H)$. Indeed, by a standard computation, we have

$$D\psi(\eta(\varepsilon,\cdot))(x)=\eta^*_x(\varepsilon,x)D\psi(\eta(\varepsilon,x)),\quad x\in H.$$

Consequently, by (7), (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|D\psi(\eta(\varepsilon,\cdot))(x)-D\psi(\eta(\varepsilon,\cdot))(\overline{x})|\\
\le\,&\|\eta^*_x(\varepsilon,x)-\eta^*_x(\varepsilon,\overline{x})\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}|D\psi(\eta(\varepsilon,x))|\\
&+\|\eta^*_x(\varepsilon,\overline{x})\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)}|D\psi(\eta(\varepsilon,x))-D\psi(\eta(\varepsilon,\overline{x}))|\\
\le\,&e^{\varepsilon K}\theta_{DF}(e^{\varepsilon K}|x-\overline{x}|)\|D\psi\|_0+e^{\varepsilon K}\theta_{D\psi}(|\eta(\varepsilon,x)-\eta(\varepsilon,\overline{x})|)\\
\le\,&e^{\varepsilon K}\theta_{DF}(e^{\varepsilon K}|x-\overline{x}|)\|D\psi\|_0+e^{\varepsilon K}\theta_{D\psi}(e^{\varepsilon K}|x-\overline{x}|),
\end{aligned}$$

for any $x,\overline{x}\in H$. So, $DT_\lambda\psi(\cdot)$ is uniformly continuous. Now we prove that T_λ is a contraction in $C^1_b(H)$. By (13), (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_\lambda\psi(x)&=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda+\frac{1}{\varepsilon})t}R_t\psi(\eta(\varepsilon,\cdot))(x)dt\\
&=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^\infty\int_H e^{-(\lambda+\frac{1}{\varepsilon})t}\psi(\eta(\varepsilon,e^{tA}x+y))N_{Q_t}(dy)dt
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$DT_\lambda\psi(x)=$$

$$=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^\infty\int_H e^{-(\lambda+\frac{1}{\varepsilon})t}e^{tA^*}\eta^*_x(\varepsilon,e^{tA}x+y)D\psi(\eta(\varepsilon,e^{tA}x+y))N_{Q_t}(dy)dt$$

By (9) it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
|DT_\lambda\psi(x)|&\le\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}-\omega)t}e^{\varepsilon K}\|D\psi\|_0dt\\
&=\frac{e^{\varepsilon K}}{1+\varepsilon(\lambda-\omega)}\|D\psi\|_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any $\lambda>\omega+(e^{\varepsilon K}-1)/\varepsilon$ the linear operator T_λ is a contraction in $C^1_b(H)$ and its resolvent satisfies

$$\begin{gathered}
(1-T_\lambda)^{-1}(C^1_b(H))\subset C^1_b(H),\\
\|D(1-T_\lambda)^{-1}\psi\|_0\le\frac{1}{1-\frac{e^{\varepsilon K}}{1+\varepsilon(\lambda-\omega)}}\|D\psi\|_0.
\end{gathered}\tag{20}$$

This implies

$$R(\lambda,N_\varepsilon)(C^1_b(H))=(1-T_\lambda)^{-1}R\Big(\lambda+\frac{1}{\varepsilon},L\Big)(C^1_b(H))\subset C^1_b(H).$$

Then, N_ε is m-dissipative. Finally, (14) follows by (19) and (20). □

Lemma 1.6. *The operator N_0 is dissipative in $C_b(H)$.*

Proof. We have to prove that $\|\lambda\varphi - N_0\varphi\| \geq \lambda\|\varphi\|$ for any $\varphi \in D(N_0)$, $\lambda > 0$. So, if $\varphi \in D(L) \cap C_b^1(H)$ and $\lambda > 0$ we set

$$\lambda\varphi - L\varphi - \mathcal{F}\varphi = f.$$

then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\lambda\varphi - N_\varepsilon\varphi = f + \mathcal{F}\varphi - \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\varphi.$$

It follows

$$\varphi = R(\lambda, N_\varepsilon)(f + \mathcal{F}\varphi - \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\varphi)$$

and

$$\|\varphi\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}(\|f\| + \|\mathcal{F}\varphi - \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\varphi\|)$$

Then by (11) it follows

$$\|\varphi\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\|f\|.$$

□

Since N_0 is dissipative, its closure $\overline{N}_0$ is still dissipative (maybe it is multivalued). By the following theorem follows Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.7. *N_0 is m-dissipative.*

Proof. Let $f \in C_b^1(H)$, $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and $\lambda > \omega + e^K - 1$. We denote by φ_ε the solution of

$$\lambda\varphi_\varepsilon - N_\varepsilon\varphi_\varepsilon = f.$$

By Proposition (1.5) we have $\varphi_\varepsilon \in D(L) \cap C_b^1(H) = D(N_0)$, then φ_ε is solution of

$$\lambda\varphi_\varepsilon - N_0\varphi_\varepsilon = f + \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\varphi_\varepsilon - \mathcal{F}\varphi_\varepsilon.$$

We claim that $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\varphi_\varepsilon - \mathcal{F}\varphi_\varepsilon \to 0$ in $C_b(H)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. Indeed it holds

$$\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\varphi_\varepsilon(x) - \mathcal{F}\varphi_\varepsilon(x) =$$

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^\varepsilon (\langle D\varphi_\varepsilon(\eta(s,x)), F(\eta(s,x))\rangle + \langle D\varphi_\varepsilon(x), F(x)\rangle)\, ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^\varepsilon (\langle D\varphi_\varepsilon(\eta(s,x)) - D\varphi_\varepsilon(x), F(\eta(s,x))\rangle$$

$$+ \langle D\varphi_\varepsilon(x), F(\eta(s,x)) - F(x)\rangle)\, ds.$$

Hence

$$|\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\varphi_\varepsilon(x) - \mathcal{F}\varphi_\varepsilon(x)| \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^\varepsilon \left(|D\varphi_\varepsilon(\eta(s,x)) - D\varphi_\varepsilon(x)|\|F\|_0 + \|D\varphi_\varepsilon\|_0|F(\eta(s,x)) - F(x)|\right) ds$$

By (8) we have

$$|F(\eta(s,x)) - F(x)| \leq K|\eta(s,x) - x| \leq K\|F\|_0 s \leq K\|F\|_0\varepsilon.$$

Notice now that since $\varphi_\varepsilon = R(\lambda, N_\varepsilon)f$ and $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, by (14) it follows

$$\|D\varphi_\varepsilon\|_0 \leq c_1\|Df\|_0,$$

for all $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, where $c_1 = (\lambda - \omega - Ke^K)^{-1}$. This also implies

$$|D\varphi_\varepsilon(\eta(s,x)) - D\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\|_0 \leq c_1\|Df(\eta(s,x) + \cdot) - Df(x + \cdot)\|_0$$

$$\leq c_1|\theta_{Df}(|\eta(s,x) - x|) \leq c_1\theta_{Df}(\|F\|_0\varepsilon),$$

where $\theta_{Df} : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is the modulus of continuity of Df. So we find

$$|\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\varphi_\varepsilon(x) - \mathcal{F}\varphi_\varepsilon(x)| \leq$$

$$\leq c_1\|F\|_0\theta_{Df}(\|F\|_0\varepsilon) + c_1\|Df\|_0 K\|F\|_0\varepsilon.$$

Then $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon\varphi_\varepsilon - \mathcal{F}\varphi_\varepsilon \to 0$ in $C_b(H)$, as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. Finally, we have obtained

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\left[\lambda\varphi_\varepsilon - N_0\varphi_\varepsilon\right] = f$$

in $C_b(H)$. Therefore the closure of the range of $\lambda - N$ includes $C_b^1(H)$, which is dense in $C_b(H)$. So, since N_0 is dissipative, by the Lumer-Phillips theorem the closure $\overline{N}_0$ of N_0 is m-dissipative. ☐

1.3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

By Theorem 1.7 the operator N_0 is m-dissipative in $C_b(H)$. It is also known that if $\varphi \in D(L) \cap C_b^1(H)$, then $N\varphi = L\varphi + \mathcal{F}\varphi$ (see, for instance, [66, 6]) and therefore $(N, D(N))$ is an extension of $(N_0, D(N_0))$. Finally, since the operator $(N, D(N))$ is closed (see Proposition 3.4 in [77, 7]), by the Lumer-Phillips theorem it follows that the closure of $(N_0, D(N_0))$ in $C_b(H)$ coincides with $(N, D(N))$. ☐

References

[1]]cite.Cerrai1Sandra Cerrai, *A Hille-Yosida theorem for weakly continuous semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **49** (1994), no. 3, 349–367.

[2]]cite.DP032Giuseppe Da Prato, *Perturbations of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators: an analytic approach*, and economics (Levico Terme, 2000), Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., vol. 55, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003, pp. 127–139.

[3]]cite.DP043______, *Kolmogorov equations for stochastic PDEs*, Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2004.

[4]]cite.DPZ924Giuseppe Da Prato and Jerzy Zabczyk, *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[5]]cite.Manca065Luigi Manca, *On a class of stochastic semilinear PDEs*, Stoch. Anal. Appl. **24** (2006), no. 2, 399–426.

[6]]cite.Manca076______, *Kolmogorov equations for measures*, 2007. Preprint.

[7]]cite.Priola7Enrico Priola, *On a class of Markov type semigroups in spaces of uniformly continuous and bounded functions*, Studia Math. **136** (1999), no. 3, 271–295.

[8]]cite.Zambotti008Lorenzo Zambotti, *An analytic approach to existence and uniqueness for martingale problems in infinite dimensions*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **118** (2000), no. 2, 147–168.